

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/752,957 01/02/2001		/02/2001	David Shiuan	101198-3	9947
27387	7590	03/26/2003			
BRUCE LO			EXAMINER		
220 EAST 4	2ND STRE	IN & MARCUS, ET, 30TH FLOOF	KERR, KATHLEEN M		
NEW TORK	NEW YORK, NY 10017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1652	
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/752,957	SHIUAN, DAVID Art Unit	
Offic Action Summary	Examiner		
	Kathleen M Kerr	1652	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TON. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication.	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>10 January 2003</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice understand of Claims	allowance except for formal matte under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	ers, prosecution as to the merits is . 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4) Claim(s) $1-16$ is/are pending in the application	cation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		,	
6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □			
Applicant may not request that any objection			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _		approved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	preign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docur			
2. Certified copies of the priority docur			
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa* See the attached detailed Office action for a	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17 2(a))		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don			
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor 	e provisional application has bee	n received	
Attachment(s)			
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	3) 5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Patent and Trademark Office O-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	c Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 11	

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

Application Status

1. Claims 1-16 are pending in the instant application.

Restriction

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
 - I. Claims 1-7, drawn to integrated plasmids, classified in class 435, subclass 320.1.
 - II. Claims 8-14, drawn to methods for preparing yeast, classified in class 435, subclass 254.2.
 - III. Claims 15-16, drawn to methods of making biotin, classified in class 435, subclass 119.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Groups I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the plasmids can be used for a materially different process of using that product, such as in methods to overexpress the encoded enzyme, biotin synthase. Thus, Groups I and II are patentably distinct. Moreover, to examine the instant Groups together would present a search burden on the Examiner because they are classified in different class/subclass classifications.

Art Unit: 1652

Groups I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the plasmids can be used for a materially different process of using that product, such as in methods to overexpress the encoded enzyme, biotin synthase. Thus, Groups I and III are patentably distinct. Moreover, to examine the instant Groups together would present a search burden on the Examiner because they are classified in different class/subclass classifications.

Groups II and III are related as methods of using the same integrated plasmid. However, these methods are distinct based on their incorporation of different methods steps using different reagents to produce different products. Thus, Groups II and III are patentably distinct.

Moreover, to examine the instant Groups together would present a search burden on the Examiner because they are classified in different class/subclass classifications.

Election of Species

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention in Group I: see Claim 7. The species in Claim 7 are patentably distinct based on their distinct structures rendering them distinct plasmids.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claims 1-6 are generic.

Art Unit: 1652

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) of the other invention.

Election

5. A telephone call was made to Theodore Gottlieb on March 24, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention (Group) to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 C.F.R. § 1.143). Moreover, if Group I is elected, the response must also contain an election of species.

Art Unit: 1652

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kathleen M Kerr whose telephone number is (703) 305-1229. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, from 8:30am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathupura Achutamurthy can be reached on (703) 308-3804. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

KMK

March 24, 2003

Kathfu Ke